



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,165	07/17/2000	Jae Beom Choi	8733.039.20	8415
30827	7590	12/06/2010	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			CHWASZ, JADE R	
1900 K STREET, NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/618,165	CHOI ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JADE R. CHWASZ	2872	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Jade R. Chwasz.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Valerie Hayes.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2010
**Time:** 9:00 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Chwasz requested clarification to the amendment found on page 3 of the amendments dated 7/17/2000. The amendment recited: "for Specification page 13 line 1, change 'the' (second occurrence)." Attorney Hayes clarified that the amendment should have instead recited: "for Specification page 13, line 1 delete 'the' (second occurrence)" such that the first sentence of page 13 reads: "Therefore, one-directional movement reduces the number of processes..."*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jade R Chwasz/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2872

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)